

85
12/23/02
MA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID B. CORNEAL and SANDRA Y.
CORNEAL,


Plaintiffs,

v.

JACKSON TOWNSHIP, Huntingdon
County, Pennsylvania, *et al.*

Defendants.

CIVIL NO. 1:CV-00-1192



ORDER

In accordance with the foregoing memorandum of law, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

(1) Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Count I is **GRANTED in PART** and **DENIED in PART** as follows:

(A) Defendants' motion for summary judgment regarding the procedural due process claim is **GRANTED**;


(B) Defendants' motion for summary judgment regarding the substantive due process claim is **DENIED**;

(2) Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Count II is **GRANTED IN PART**. As the motion relates to Defendants Jackson Township, the motion is **GRANTED**. In all other respects, Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Count II is **DENIED**;

(3) Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Count III is **GRANTED**;

(4) Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Count IV is **GRANTED** with regard to the procedural due process claims, and **DENIED** with regard to the substantive due process claim;

(5) Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**.


SYLVIA H. RAMBO
United States District Judge

Dated: December *23*, 2002